

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/202,791	MATSUSHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah K. Ware	1651	

All Participants:

(1) Deborah K. Ware.

(2) Bruce Grant.

Date of Interview: 16 January 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 6:45 pm (California Time)

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 35 USC 112, second paragraph and 35 USC 103.

Claims discussed:
 16-29 and 31-44

Prior art documents discussed:
 Folkesson et al. in view of Slotman


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Informed Applicants' Representative that the amendment filed after final has been received and will be entered per Applicants' request and the claims reconsidered on the merits.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DEBORAH K. WARE
PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)